SDM storage/bandwidth Pushing the requirements towards reasonable levels

Matthias Büchse Marko Thiele NAFEMS Europe SPDM, 2018-11-29







Today: Requirements significantly higher than necessary.

Therefore...





So the problem is clear. Time for solutions!



Data deduplication to the rescue!



Chunking: find block boundaries via rolling checksum **Indexing:** identify each block with cryptographic hash



Requirement	Unix diff	Dedup FS	git/bup*	pcompress ⁺
Random read access	X	√	?	?
Random append/delete	X	\checkmark	?	X
Concurrent access	\checkmark	√	?	?
Petabyte data	?	?	X	\checkmark
Data transfer	?	X	\checkmark	X
Encryption	X	?	X	X
No additional sys. req.	\checkmark	X	?	?

Re-implement pcompress, but with random append and delete, all the while preserving concurrent access and data integrity even after system crash (e.g., power outage).

⁺ pcompress: <u>https://moinakg.wordpress.com/2013/06/22/high-performance-content-defined-chunking/</u>

SCALE⁻

Results: bulk data size, real-world input data collection [TiB]





Take-home message

- Inter-file redundancy abounds in Simulation Data Management scenarios.
- Reduction of inter-file redundancy reduces storage and bandwidth requirements by factor 4.
- If your SDM system does not take care of inter-file redundancy, it wastes space and bandwidth.
- Otherwise, new possibilities arise...
 - collaboration (almost) in real time,
 - collaboration with more remote sites,
 - shorter roundtrip times,
 - more elaborate simulation models.



Thank you! SCALE: WAVID

The new technology was developed and integrated within the project VAVID (reference number: 01 IS 14005 C), which was partly funded by the German ministry of education and research (BMBF).